

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TEXARKANA DIVISION

RALPH ALVARADO §  
v. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:10cv38  
PAROLE DIVISION OF TELFORD §  
UNIT, ET AL.

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff Ralph Alvarado, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

Alvarado complained that he is being denied release on parole, which he contends is “violating my constitutional government status civil rights pro se litigant Pacer electronic filing.” After review of the pleadings, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that Alvarado be denied *in forma pauperis* status and that Alvarado’s lawsuit be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915(g). The Magistrate Judge observed that Alvarado had previously filed at least three lawsuits or appeals which had been dismissed as frivolous or for failure to state a claim upon which relief could be granted, and he did not pay the full filing fee or show that he is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. Alvarado received a copy of the Magistrate Judge’s Report on July 13, 2010, but filed no objections thereto; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted

by the district court. Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has carefully reviewed the pleadings and documents in this case, as well as the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has concluded that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. It is accordingly

ORDERED that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is hereby ADOPTED as the opinion of the District Court. While Alvarado did not file a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, such status is DENIED *sua sponte*. It is further

ORDERED that the above-styled civil action be and hereby is DISMISSED with prejudice as to the refiling of another *in forma pauperis* lawsuit raising the same claims as herein presented, but without prejudice to the refiling of this lawsuit without seeking *in forma pauperis* status and upon payment of the statutory \$350.00 filing fee. It is further

ORDERED that should the Plaintiff pay the full filing fee within 15 days after the date of entry of final judgment in this case, he shall be allowed to proceed in the lawsuit as through the full fee had been paid from the outset. Finally, it is

ORDERED that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby DENIED.

**SIGNED this 7th day of September, 2010.**



---

DAVID FOLSOM  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE